EAACI Guidelines on Environmental science for allergy and asthma – recommendations for pollen-induced asthma and rhinitis

WG Atmospheric Science Chairs: Isabella Annesi-Maesano and Lorenzo Cecchi

Guideline Chairs: Ioana Agache, Cezmi Akdis, Ioana Agache

Pollen info

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Pollen info* may be recommended to forecast pollen-induced rhinitis symptoms

	JUDGEMENT	DGEMENT									
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know				
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know				
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know				
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included				
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability							
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know				
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know				
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included				
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included				
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know				
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know				
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know				

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Pollen-concentration based forecast may improve the management of pollen-induced asthma

	JUDGEMENT						
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability			
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Pollen-concentration based forecast may improve the management of pollen-induced rhinitis

	JUDGEMENT						
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability			
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— App-based personal pollen information systems may provide better information as compared to concentration-based pollen info*

	JUDGEMENT	DGEMENT									
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know				
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know				
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know				
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included				
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability							
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know				
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know				
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included				
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included				
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know				
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know				
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know				



Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Pollutant info should be included in pollen information systems

	JUDGEMENT						
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability			
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Pollen calendars may be of limited use* for pollen-induced rhinitis management

	JUDGEMENT						
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability			
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Pollen calendars may be of limited use* for pollen-induced asthma management

	JUDGEMENT	GEMENT TO THE PROPERTY OF THE									
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know				
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know				
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know				
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included				
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability							
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know				
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know				
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included				
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included				
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know				
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know				
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know				

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Combined forecast (weather, pollen, pollutants) might reduce the impact of thunderstorm asthma*

	JUDGEMENT	DGEMENT										
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know					
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know					
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know					
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included					
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability								
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know					
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know					
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included					
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included					
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know					
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know					
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know					

Clinical impact of reduction of pollen exposure

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Reducing/avoiding exposure to pollen should be recommended to reduce the risk of severe asthma exacerbations

	JUDGEMENT						
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability			
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Pollen information may improve the management of pollen-induced rhinitis (symptoms, medication, QoL)

	JUDGEMENT						
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability			
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Pollen information might improve the management of pollen-induced asthma (exacerbations, control, lung function)

	JUDGEMENT	DGEMENT									
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know				
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know				
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know				
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included				
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability							
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know				
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know				
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included				
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included				
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know				
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know				
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know				

Pollen monitoring

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Pollen concentration* might be recommended as a reliable proxy of pollen exposure

	JUDGEMENT						
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability			
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Real-time pollen count may be recommended for managing pollen-induced rhinitis*

	JUDGEMENT						
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability			
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Real-time pollen count might be recommended for managing pollen-induced asthma*

	JUDGEMENT						
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability			
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Pollen monitoring networks may be recommended for providing exposure data at population level

	JUDGEMENT	DGEMENT									
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know				
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know				
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know				
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included				
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability							
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know				
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know				
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included				
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included				
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know				
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know				
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know				

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Dispersion models might be recommended for a better prediction of exposure risk

	JUDGEMENT						
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability			
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Accurate and consistent pollen counting* should be recommended

	JUDGEMENT						
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability			
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

Tools for reducing exposure to pollen

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— FFP2 masks may reduce the risk of pollen-induced asthma exacerbations

	JUDGEMENT	DGEMENT										
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know					
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know					
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know					
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included					
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability								
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know					
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know					
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included					
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included					
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know					
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know					
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know					

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Nasal filters might reduce the risk of pollen-induced rhinitis exacerbations

	JUDGEMENT	GEMENT									
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know				
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know				
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know				
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included				
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability							
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know				
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know				
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included				
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included				
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know				
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know				
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know				

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Complex mitigation plan (staying at home, closing windows, using pollen-nets) might reduce the risk of pollen-induced asthma symptoms

	JUDGEMENT						
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability			
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Car filters might be recommended for pollen-induced asthma

	JUDGEMENT						
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability			
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Air cleaners may reduce indoor pollen concentration and thus may be recommended for pollen-induced asthma*

	JUDGEMENT						
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability			
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

Biomarkers and pollen-induced asthma

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma – FEV1* decrease may be predictive for pollen-induced asthma exacerbations

	JUDGEMENT						
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability			
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Increase in FeNO might be predictive for pollen-induced asthma exacerbations

	JUDGEMENT						
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability			
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

Public health measures



Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— ED/asthma-related services should be strengthened during (grass/ragweed/birch)* pollen season and in TA

	JUDGEMENT									
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know			
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know			
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know			
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included			
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability						
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know			
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know			
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included			
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included			
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know			
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know			
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know			

Evidence to decision table supporting guidance for pollen induced asthma— Warning systems may be recommended to reduce the impact of TA*

	JUDGEMENT									
PROBLEM (IMPORTANCE)	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know			
DESIRABLE EFFECTS	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know			
UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know			
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included			
VALUES	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	no important uncertainty or variability						
BALANCE OF EFFECTS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know			
RESOURCES REQUIRED	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know			
CERTAINTY OF EVIDENCE OF REQUIRED RESOURCES	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No studies included			
COST EFFECTIVENESS	Favors the comparison	Probably favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favors the intervention	Favors the intervention	Varies	No studies included			
EQUITY	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know			
ACCEPTABILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know			
FEASIBILITY	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know			